

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 0:24-CV-60984-AUGUSTIN-BIRCH [CONSENT CASE]

MELINDA MICHAELS,

Plaintiff,

v.

SEAWATER PRO LLC AND  
MICHAEL SPANOS,

Defendants.

---

**DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
NUNC PRO TUNC TO RESPOND TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS  
AGAINST MICHAEL SPANOS AND REQUEST FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Defendants, Seawater Pro LLC and Michael Spanos, through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, Local Rule 7.1, and other applicable Rules and laws, request the Court to extend the deadline to respond to the Motion for Sanctions Against Michael Spanos and Request for Protective Order [ECF No. 95] ("the Motion") based on the lack of opposition and the following good cause:

1. The Plaintiff filed the Motion seeking sanctions against Mr. Spanos for name-calling and requesting a protective order to separate the parties during entry and exit to the courthouse.
2. The deadline to respond to the Motion was December 26, 2025.
3. Due to the press of business, commitments in other matters, a pre-planned vacation (where the undersigned has been out of the jurisdiction from December 19-26, 2025), and commitments in other matters requiring extended briefing over the two weeks leading up to this deadline (*i.e.*, responding to three of the five motions for summary judgment filed in *B.F., et al. vs.*

*Santa Rosa School Bd., et al.*, N.D. Fla. Case No. 3:23-CV-03903-MW-ZCB which were due December 24, 2025), the undersigned counsel for the Defendants was been unable to conduct the legal research necessary and to draft the Response in opposition by the deadline established by the Rules.

4. Defendants requested that the Court enter an Order extending the deadline to respond by two weeks, so the new deadline would be January 9, 2026, by way of a Motion for Extension of Time filed on December 26, 2025. [ECF No. ].

5. The Court denied the Motion for Extension of Time filed on December 26, 2025, due to the lack of a meaningful conferral. [ECF No. ].

6. Since then, Plaintiff's counsel indicated that they agreed to the requested extension, satisfying the conferral requirement imposed by Local Rule 7.1.

7. Defendants, thus, renew their request for an extension of time through January 9, 2026, to respond to the Plaintiffs' Motion.

8. Granting a two-week extension of time to respond will not impact the trial of this case, the parties' preparations, nor any other deadlines or procedural matters in this case.

9. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1) "When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires."

10. It follows that this Court has the power to "control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel and for litigants." *Landis v. North American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936); *also see Clinton v. Jones*, 520 U.S. 681, 706-707 (1997).

11. The undersigned counsel for the Defendants requested the Plaintiff's position on the extension in an email sent on December 25, 2025, and on December 29, 2025, Plaintiff's counsel responded and indicated that they did not oppose the requested extension.

12. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant the extension requested above.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, Seawater Pro LLC and Michael Spanos, respectfully request the Court to grant the relief requested herein by extending the deadline to respond to the Motion [ECF No. 95] by two weeks from December 26, 2025, so the new deadline to respond will be January 9, 2026, based on the lack of opposition and good cause shown.

**LOCAL RULE 7.1 CERTIFICATION**

Counsel for the movant conferred with all parties or non-parties who may be affected by the relief sought in the motion in a good faith effort to resolve the issues raised in the motion and represents that Plaintiff's counsel did not oppose the relief requested herein.

FAIRLAW FIRM  
*Defense Counsel*

s/Brian H. Pollock, Esq.  
Brian H. Pollock, Esq.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of January 2026,

Brian H. Pollock, Esq.  
Brian H. Pollock, Esq.  
Fla. Bar No. 174742  
[brian@fairlawattorney.com](mailto:brian@fairlawattorney.com)  
FAIRLAW FIRM  
135 San Lorenzo Avenue  
Suite 770  
Coral Gables, FL 33146  
Tel: 305.230.4884  
*Counsel for Defendants*